

IHS CHEMICAL

Hydrocracking by Slurry Process

Process Economics Program Report 211C

November 2017

ihc.com

PEP Report 211C

Hydrocracking by Slurry Process

Gajendra Kumar
Principal Analyst



PEP Report 211C

Hydrocracking by Slurry Process

Gajendra Kumar, Principal Analyst

Abstract

Rising demand for transportation fuels and petrochemicals as well as the rising supply of heavy residue of crude oil have resulted in a renewed interest in the processing of heavy residue to generate useful lighter fuels and chemicals. Nonconventional feeds such as vacuum residue and heavy oils have shown high potential as alternate sources for the production of high-value transportation fuels, as these are abundantly available. These feeds are of low quality because of the presence of impurities like Conradson carbon residue (CCR), asphaltenes, sulfur, nitrogen, and heavy metals.

Several process technologies have been developed to upgrade these feeds, which can be broadly divided into carbon rejection and hydrogen addition processes. Carbon rejection processes mainly are visbreaking, steam cracking, fluid catalytic cracking, and coking, while hydrogen addition processes are hydrocracking, fixed-bed catalytic hydroconversion, ebullated catalytic bed, slurry bed catalytic hydroconversion, hydrovisbreaking, and hydrolysis.

Though coking, resid fluidized catalytic cracking (RFCC), and hydrocracking are the major commercial residue upgrading processes, slurry-phase hydrocracking is gaining popularity among refiners. PEP Report 228, *Refinery Residue Upgrading* (June 2000) covered the above-mentioned processes. In this report, we cover slurry-phase hydrocracking technology for upgrading vacuum residue and the production economics thereof. The main advantage of the slurry-based process is that it can process a variety of feedstocks from refinery residue with more than 90% conversion. Also, as dispersed catalyst is used in the reactor, it is not prone to plugging from coke.

In this design, we have presented our understanding of the process technology and production economics of the following slurry-phase hydrocracking technologies:

- Honeywell UOP's Uniflex™
- KBR's Veba Combi Cracker (VCC™)
- ENI's slurry technology (EST)

We also describe our understanding of other slurry-phase hydrocracking technologies, such as the Chevron Lummus Global (CLG) LC-SLURRY process.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 10 |
| 2 | Summary | 12 |
| | General perspective on residue upgrading technologies | 12 |
| | Commercial aspects | 13 |
| | Technical aspects | 14 |
| | Technologies for residue upgrade | 14 |
| | Slurry hydrocracking | 15 |
| | Operating conditions | 16 |
| | Process summary | 16 |
| | Process economics | 17 |
| | Economics calculation modules for non-US regions | 19 |
| | Other residue upgrading technologies | 19 |
| | Carbon emissions and water usage | 21 |
| 3 | Industry status | 23 |
| | Crude oil quality | 23 |
| | Crude oil supply/demand | 24 |
| | Refined products market | 25 |
| | Refining capacity | 26 |
| | Refining market impact from a 2020 marine fuel sulfur reduction | 27 |
| | Impact on prices and refining margins | 31 |
| | Hydrocracking market | 33 |
| 4 | Technology review | 37 |
| | Refinery feedstock | 38 |
| | Crude oil properties | 39 |
| | Refinery products | 40 |
| | Crude distillation | 41 |
| | Refinery residue | 41 |
| | Properties of refinery residue | 42 |
| | Residue upgrading processes | 44 |
| | Carbon rejection process | 45 |
| | Hydrogen addition process | 48 |
| | Fixed-bed hydrocracking | 49 |
| | Ebullated-bed hydrocracking | 50 |
| | LC-FINING | 55 |
| | H-Oil _{RC} process | 58 |
| | HC-Oil _{DC} process | 59 |
| | HCAT [®] hydrocracking | 60 |
| | STRONG technology | 61 |
| | Slurry-phase hydrocracking | 62 |
| | Microcat-RC [™] process | 63 |
| | MRH process | 64 |
| | Slurry-bed process by China University of Petroleum | 66 |
| | KOBELCO SPH | 66 |
| | HDH technology | 68 |
| | Super oil-cracking (SOC) technology | 68 |



| | |
|---|------------|
| KBR Veba Combi Cracker (VCC™) technology | 68 |
| Historical development of VCC™ technology | 69 |
| Principles of VCC™ technology | 70 |
| Catalyst | 72 |
| Value proposition for VCC™ technology | 74 |
| Slurry reactor hydrodynamics | 74 |
| Feedstock flexibility | 75 |
| Refinery integration | 75 |
| Coker versus VCC™ technology | 77 |
| Eni slurry technology (EST) | 78 |
| Historical development of EST technology | 78 |
| Principles of ENI slurry technology | 80 |
| Catalyst mechanism | 81 |
| Value proposition for Eni slurry technology | 82 |
| Commercial experience | 83 |
| UOP slurry hydrocracking process Uniflex™ | 84 |
| Historical development of Uniflex™ technology | 84 |
| Principles of Uniflex™ technology | 85 |
| Catalyst | 86 |
| Value proposition for Uniflex™ technology | 87 |
| Comparison of residue conversion technologies | 87 |
| Commercial experience | 89 |
| LC-SLURRY process | 90 |
| Slurry hydrocracking process review | 91 |
| Chemistry | 91 |
| Residue hydrocracking mechanism | 92 |
| Reaction kinetics | 94 |
| Hydrocracking thermodynamics | 96 |
| Development of catalysts for slurry-phase hydrocracking | 97 |
| Oil-soluble catalyst | 97 |
| Water-soluble catalyst | 98 |
| Process variables in hydrocracking | 99 |
| Slurry-phase hydrocracking process conditions | 101 |
| 5 Slurry-phase hydrocracking via KBR VCC™ technology | 106 |
| KBR VCC™ technology block diagram | 106 |
| VCC™ technology design basis | 107 |
| Feedstock and product properties | 108 |
| VCC™ process flow diagrams and process description | 108 |
| Section 100—Vacuum residue reaction and separation | 109 |
| Section 200—Vacuum gas oil reaction and separation | 110 |
| Process discussion | 116 |
| Feedstock | 116 |
| Reactor | 116 |
| Hydrogen supply | 117 |
| Storage | 117 |
| Offsites and miscellaneous package units | 117 |
| Recycle gas treatment unit | 117 |
| Hydrogen purification unit | 118 |
| Process waste effluent | 118 |
| Materials of construction | 118 |
| Cost estimates | 121 |
| Fixed capital costs | 122 |
| Production costs | 122 |
| 6 Slurry-phase hydrocracking via Eni slurry technology (EST) | 127 |
| EST block diagram | 127 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| EST technology design basis | 128 |
| EST process flow diagrams and process description | 129 |
| Section 100—Hydrocracking unit | 130 |
| Section 200—Product separation unit | 131 |
| Section 300—Solvent deasphalting unit | 131 |
| Process discussion | 137 |
| Feedstock | 137 |
| Reactor | 138 |
| Catalyst | 138 |
| Hydrogen supply | 138 |
| Storage | 138 |
| Offsites and miscellaneous package units | 138 |
| Recycle gas treatment unit | 138 |
| Hydrogen purification unit | 139 |
| Process waste effluent | 139 |
| Materials of construction | 139 |
| Cost estimates | 142 |
| Fixed capital costs | 142 |
| Production costs | 143 |
| 7 Slurry-phase hydrocracking via UOP Uniflex™ technology | 148 |
| UOP Uniflex™ technology block diagram | 148 |
| Uniflex™ technology design basis | 150 |
| Feed and product properties | 150 |
| Uniflex™ process flow diagrams and process description | 151 |
| Section 100—Hydrocracking unit | 152 |
| Section 200—Product separation unit | 153 |
| Process discussion | 158 |
| Feedstock | 158 |
| Reactor | 158 |
| Catalyst | 159 |
| Hydrogen supply | 159 |
| Storage | 159 |
| Offsites and miscellaneous package units | 159 |
| Recycle gas treatment unit | 159 |
| Hydrogen purification unit | 160 |
| Process waste effluent | 160 |
| Materials of construction | 160 |
| Cost estimates | 163 |
| Fixed capital costs | 163 |
| Production costs | 164 |
| Appendix A—Patent summary table | 168 |
| Appendix B—Design and cost bases | 174 |
| Design conditions | 175 |
| Cost basis | 175 |
| Capital investment | 175 |
| Production costs | 176 |
| Effect of operating level on production costs | 176 |
| Appendix C—Cited references | 178 |
| Appendix D—Patent summaries by company | 184 |
| Appendix E—Process flow diagrams | 186 |

Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 2.1 Comparison of different hydroprocessing reactors | 13 |
| Table 2.2 Comparison of different process for residue upgrading | 15 |
| Table 2.3 Licensors for slurry-phase hydroconversion processes | 16 |
| Table 2.4 Process summary for three evaluated processes | 17 |
| Table 2.5 Overall comparison of slurry-phase hydrocracking economics—TFC investment for 50,000 bpsd vacuum residue upgrade | 18 |
| Table 2.6 Overall comparison of the slurry-phase hydrocracking process economics—Production cost for upgrading 50,000 bpsd vacuum residue | 19 |
| Table 2.7 Process economics for upgrading residue via other technologies | 20 |
| Table 2.8 Carbon emission from slurry-phase hydrocracking processes | 21 |
| Table 2.9 Carbon emission from petroleum products | 21 |
| Table 2.10 Water usage comparison | 22 |
| Table 3.1 Types of crude oil and distillation yields | 24 |
| Table 3.2 World supply/demand for crude petroleum—2015 to 2020 | 25 |
| Table 3.3 World production of refined petroleum products | 26 |
| Table 3.4 World refining capacity based on different processes and years | 26 |
| Table 3.5 Hydrocracker average annual capacities | 35 |
| Table 4.1 Typical crude oil composition | 38 |
| Table 4.2 Properties of crude oils | 40 |
| Table 4.3 Principal compositional characteristics of heavy crude oils and bitumens | 42 |
| Table 4.4 Asphaltene and maltene content and elemental characterization of residua | 43 |
| Table 4.5 Composition of resid solvent fractions | 43 |
| Table 4.6 Major FCC processes | 45 |
| Table 4.7 Major coking process technologies | 46 |
| Table 4.8 Air emissions | 47 |
| Table 4.9 Major gasification process technologies | 47 |
| Table 4.10 Major SDA process technologies | 47 |
| Table 4.11 Major visbreaking process technologies | 48 |
| Table 4.12 Major hydrovisbreaking processes technologies | 48 |
| Table 4.13 Major fixed-bed hydroprocessing technologies | 50 |
| Table 4.14 EBR catalyst portfolio by ART | 54 |
| Table 4.15 Vacuum residue properties processed using LC-FINING process | 55 |
| Table 4.16 LC-FINING process product yields from the residues obtained from Arabian light and heavy crude oils | 56 |
| Table 4.17 H-Oil process feedstock and product data | 59 |
| Table 4.18 STRONG technology characteristics | 62 |
| Table 4.19 Microcat-RC™ process yields from hydrocracking Cold Lake heavy oil vacuum residuum | 64 |
| Table 4.20 MRH process feedstock and product data | 66 |
| Table 4.21 Properties of atmospheric topped bitumen and Kobelco SPH product yields and properties | 68 |
| Table 4.22 VCC™ licensees | 69 |
| Table 4.23 VCC™ typical syncrude properties | 71 |
| Table 4.24 Comparison of VCC™ and coker | 78 |
| Table 4.25 Characteristics of different feedstock tested in 0.3 bpsd pilot plant | 79 |
| Table 4.26 Experimental yields from CDP test runs | 79 |
| Table 4.27 Distillates yields from different residue upgrading processes | 83 |
| Table 4.28 UOP Uniflex™ process licensing activities | 90 |
| Table 4.29 Gaseous product distribution of feedstock oils in thermal hydrocracking and catalytic hydrocracking processes | 94 |
| Table 4.30 Heat of reaction in hydrocracking reactions | 97 |
| Table 4.31 Effect of LHSV on slurry hydrocracking of Ural vacuum residue | 103 |
| Table 4.32 Effect of temperature on slurry hydrocracking of Ural vacuum residue | 104 |
| Table 4.33 Effect of hydrogen partial pressure on slurry hydrocracking of Ural vacuum residue | 105 |
| Table 5.1 KBR VCC™—Design bases and assumptions | 107 |
| Table 5.2 Feedstock properties | 108 |
| Table 5.3 Product properties | 108 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 5.4 Diesel production via KBR VCC™ technology—Main stream flows | 111 |
| Table 5.5 Diesel production via KBR VCC™ technology—Major equipment | 119 |
| Table 5.6 Diesel production via KBR VCC™ technology—Utilities summary | 121 |
| Table 5.7 Diesel production via KBR VCC™ technology—Total capital investment | 123 |
| Table 5.8 Diesel production via KBR VCC™ technology—Capital investment by section | 124 |
| Table 5.9 Diesel production via KBR VCC™ technology—Production costs | 125 |
| Table 6.1 Eni slurry technology—Design bases and assumptions | 128 |
| Table 6.2 Feedstock and product properties | 129 |
| Table 6.3 Diesel production via Eni slurry technology—Main stream flows | 133 |
| Table 6.4 Diesel production via Eni slurry technology—Major equipment | 140 |
| Table 6.5 Diesel production via Eni slurry technology—Utilities summary | 142 |
| Table 6.6 Diesel production via Eni slurry technology—Total capital investment | 144 |
| Table 6.7 Diesel production via ENI slurry technology—Capital investment by section | 145 |
| Table 6.8 Diesel production via ENI slurry technology—Production costs | 145 |
| Table 7.1 UOP Uniflex™—Design bases and assumptions | 150 |
| Table 7.2 Feedstock properties | 150 |
| Table 7.3 Product properties | 150 |
| Table 7.4 Diesel production via UOP Uniflex™ technology—Main stream flows | 154 |
| Table 7.5 Typical red mud composition | 159 |
| Table 7.6 Diesel production via UOP Uniflex™ technology—Major equipment | 161 |
| Table 7.7 Diesel production via UOP Uniflex™ technology—Utilities summary | 163 |
| Table 7.8 Diesel production via UOP Uniflex™ technology—Total capital investment | 165 |
| Table 7.9 diesel production via UOP Uniflex™ technology—Capital investment by section | 166 |
| Table 7.10 Diesel production via UOP Uniflex™ technology—Production costs | 166 |

Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1.1 Typical yields from various types of crude oil | 10 |
| Figure 2.1 Production costs of diesel via slurry-phase hydrocracking processes in the US Gulf Coast | 18 |
| Figure 2.2 Water usage in slurry-phase hydrocracking processes in the US Gulf Coast | 22 |
| Figure 3.1 Crude oil density trends | 23 |
| Figure 3.2 Crude oil sulfur content trends | 24 |
| Figure 3.3 Global refined product demand—2016 | 29 |
| Figure 3.4 World demand growth—Total refined products | 30 |
| Figure 3.5 International product light-heavy spreads | 31 |
| Figure 3.6 International light-heavy crude differentials | 32 |
| Figure 3.7 Long-term benchmark margin outlook (US Gulf Coast margins includes renewables identification number costs) | 33 |
| Figure 4.1 Block flow diagram for refinery | 38 |
| Figure 4.2 Schematic view of crude oil distillation and downstream processing | 40 |
| Figure 4.3 Composition and analysis of petroleum fractions | 41 |
| Figure 4.4 Hypothetical asphaltene molecule and its interaction with metalloporphyrins | 44 |
| Figure 4.5 Schematic representation of H-Oil ebullated-bed reactor | 51 |
| Figure 4.6 Schematic representation of LC-FINING ebullated-bed reactor | 52 |
| Figure 4.7 Catalyst offered by Criterion | 53 |
| Figure 4.9 LC-FINING with integrated HDT schematic | 56 |
| Figure 4.10 LC-MAX process schematic | 57 |
| Figure 4.11 H-Oil _{RC} process schematic | 58 |
| Figure 4.12 H-Oil _{DC} process schematic | 60 |
| Figure 4.13 HCAT® process schematic | 61 |
| Figure 4.13 Microcat-RC™ process schematic | 64 |
| Figure 4.14 MRH process schematic | 65 |
| Figure 4.15 KOBELCO SPH schematic | 67 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 4.16 Historical development of VCC™ process | 69 |
| Figure 4.16 Block diagram for VCC™ | 72 |
| Figure 4.17 Slurry reactor hydrodynamics | 74 |
| Figure 4.18 Conversion of different feeds as function of LPH reactor temperature | 75 |
| Figure 4.19 Fuels production (base) | 76 |
| Figure 4.20 Gasoline/PX production | 76 |
| Figure 4.21 Gasoline/PX/olefins + diesel | 77 |
| Figure 4.22 Gasoline/PX/max. olefins + diesel | 77 |
| Figure 4.23 ENI slurry technology schematic | 81 |
| Figure 4.24 EST catalyst | 82 |
| Figure 4.25 Block flow diagram of EST complex at Sannazzaro | 83 |
| Figure 4.26 Comparison of the product yields obtained from EST unit with expected data | 84 |
| Figure 4.27 Block flow diagram of Uniflex™ technology | 86 |
| Figure 4.28 Typical yields from delayed coker and Uniflex™ process | 88 |
| Figure 4.29 Typical yields from delayed coker and Uniflex™ process | 88 |
| Figure 4.30 Uniflex™ process integrated with delayed coking unit | 89 |
| Figure 4.31 Uniflex™ process integrated with UOP/FW solvent deasphalting and Unicracking™ process | 89 |
| Figure 4.32 LC-SLURRY optimal reactor configuration for slurry hydrocracking | 90 |
| Figure 4.33 Liquid yields from different CLG residue upgrading technologies | 91 |
| Figure 5.1 Block flow diagram for KBR VCC™ process | 106 |
| Figure 5.3 Diesel production via KBR VCC™ technology—Net production cost and product value of diesel as a function of vacuum residue price | 126 |
| Figure 6.1 Block flow diagram for Eni slurry technology (EST) | 127 |
| Figure 6.3 Typical scheme for ROSE® SDA process | 132 |
| Figure 6.4 Eni slurry technology—Net production cost and product value of diesel as a function of vacuum residue feed price | 146 |
| Figure 6.5 ENI slurry technology—Net production cost and product value of diesel as a function of DAO byproduct price | 147 |
| Figure 7.1 Block flow diagram for UOP Uniflex™ technology | 148 |
| Figure 7.2 Uniflex™ process asphaltene conversion | 149 |
| Figure 7.4 UOP Uniflex™ process—Net production cost and product value of diesel as a function of vacuum residue feed price | 167 |
| Figure 5.2 Process flow diagram of KBR VCC™ technology—Section 100 (vacuum residue reaction and separation) | 187 |
| Figure 5.2 Process flow diagram of KBR VCC™ technology—Section 200 (vacuum gas oil reaction and separation) | 188 |
| Figure 6.2 Process flow diagram of ENI slurry-phase technology—Section 100 (hydrocracking unit) | 189 |
| Figure 6.2 Process flow diagram of ENI slurry-phase technology—Section 200 (product separation) | 190 |
| Figure 7.3 Process flow diagram of UOP Uniflex™ technology (section 100—hydrocracking unit) | 191 |
| Figure 7.3 Process flow diagram of UOP Uniflex™ technology (section 200—product separation) | 192 |

IHS Customer Care:

Americas: +1 800 IHS CARE (+1 800 447 2273); CustomerCare@ihs.com
Europe, Middle East, and Africa: +44 (0) 1344 328 300; Customer.Support@ihs.com
Asia and the Pacific Rim: +604 291 3600; SupportAPAC@ihs.com

